App. F. IX-4

1926

File No. 62-26

Previous Reference (LB)

Ref 27 V3&1-4

Serial Nos. 20

31, 53, 72, 114
131, 199

Later References

LB 30-29 Sh. 7, 2, 4, 6, 8, 28, 28A 425
30-29 - —— 350.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for January

SUBJECT

Applications received from the different Associations in Bangalore District

72 To, The Deputy Commr. Bangalore District
No. L 3179/A. 15.11.26

Directs the extension of Franchise to Six Associations recommended by the D.C and Suggests the nomination of Representatives from others

KB January

15242

WD 01229-4-GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Lab File No. 62 of 1926

Serial No.

No. C-2-318/26-24 dated the 6th Febr 26
From

The Secretary Commr. Bangalore
Forwards with his recommendations
the applications received from
the different Associations in
the set for enfranchisement on
the Bangalore set Board

1) The following Trade Associations
have applied for enfranchisement

1 The Karnataka Sangha
2 The Aryavaisya Mahasabha
3. The Vokkaligara Sangha
4 " Mysore Nigayat Education Fund
5 " Whitefield Settlers Association
6 " Immadi Association
7. " Yadava Sangha
8 " Balya Sangha
9 " Land Association
10 " Central Mahomedan Association
11 " Chamber of Commerce
12 " Anjuman Mahadevia Islamia

The last three (10 & 12) are reported to have been received after the due date.

2) Each one is marked in the statement attached to the P.C.D. The Secretary Commr has therefore recommended the enfranchisement

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

of the following 9 Associations
1. The Muslim Association
2. " Rama Association
3. " Chamber of Commerce
4. " Hindu Sangha
5. " Aryaveera Sabha
6. " Vokkaligara Sangha
7. " Myore Religious Endowments
8. " Whitefield Settlers Associa
9. " Central Mahomedan Asso

3) The applications of 3 & 9 ve
the Chamber of Commerce & the
Central Mahomedan Associatio
were received after the due da
the Secy Commr recommends
that the delay may be condon
Rule 4(c) states that "applications
shall be submitted to Govt through
the Commissioner at least four months b
the commencement of the term
the Board. The request for condonm
the violation of the rule is for avoi
4) Again the Secy Commr in para 3
of his letter states that informa
has not been furnished
furnished by the Whitefield Settlers Associ
The Baliga Sangha & the Anjuman Ha
Islam have been registered as rep
by Rule 2 of the election ru
these associations do not therefore

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

Satisfy that rule.

5) This rule is limited by rule 3 of the election rules which lays down that the number of members elected by Special Constituencies shall not exceed one fourth of the total number of elected members of the Board.

6) The general constituencies return 20 members (3 for Bangalore 1 for Cooghly Sub-Div & 16 for the other eight Districts at 2 each.) This rule read with section 5 cl (3) has to be kept in view in the election of 26 (ie 20 by general constituencies and 6 by Special constituencies).

For the latter should not exceed by more than one third the number made under rule 2, by Special Constituencies is meant associations representing the following interests viz Zamindars Planters, Agriculturists or Industries, Commerce, Education, Law etc.

7) Among the associations recommended by the above test be applied after eliminating those which have not applied in time, all the associations except the Arya Vysya Mahasabha, the Chamber of Commerce and the Central Mahomedan Association seem to come in Submitted for orders with respect.

Form 9.10.26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

L&S File No. 62 of 1926

Serial No.

8) 12 Associations as noted in para 1 of the Note have asked for enfranchisement in the Bangalore District for the new 2 Seats [illegible], of which the last 3 (the Central Mahomedan Assn, Chamber of Commerce & Anjuman Islamia) are said to have applied after the due date. The delay is not very long, and may perhaps be condoned. Those entitled to the privilege under the present Constitution also. It is not known why the Adi Dravida Sangha which has a seat in the present Constitution has not applied

Point 1.

Point 2

9) It is stated that information has not been furnished whether the Whitefield Settlers Assn, the Balija Sangha (which formerly had a place in the present Constitution) and Anjuman Mahaderia Islam have been registered. The Govt should decide as to the enfranchisement of them unless this information is given the Assns may perhaps be rejected to ascertain & give definite information in regard to this at least as regards the Whitefield Settlers Assn which is proposed by them to be enfranchised.

Point 3

10) The Law Assn, Chamber of Commerce & Whitefield Settlers Assn consist of less than the prescribed No. of Members. If any of these is to be included in the list to be approved, they have to be exempted. This is permissible under the rules. Exemption may perhaps be given

Point 4

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

LB File No. 62 of 1926

Serial No.

Omit Nos

11) But before settling the names of the wards the unfranchised & to fix the new Constituencies, it is necessary to fix the strength of the new Constituencies itself, as the number of the unelected depends on the whole strength & a percentage.

12) In Rule 3 of the election rules it is stated that the number of members to be elected by the special Constituencies should not exceed 1/4 the total number of elected members.

13) Regarding Franchise Section of the Draft, the Draft Act shall consist of

(a) Elected Members. (b) Nominated persons as may be appointed by first provision to him & (c) Ex-officio Members if any as first may appoint; and

14) The elected members shall not be less than 2/3rds of the whole & the number of salaried servants of the first shall not exceed one half of the remaining strength.

15) Under Rule 6. The first shall provide generally for each or specially for any particular District provision to be made

(a) the total No. of members
(b) the No. of elected members a
(c) the No. to be appointed ex-officio or by nomination.

16) The strength for each Law to be fixed perhaps = checked

Omit No 6

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2J

General and Revenue Secretariat

L.B. File No. 62 of 1926

Serial No.

Para 7

Lt. Col. Thomas M.L.A. may be asked to suggest the changes in the representation of Districts, Nominated & Elected members (Rural & Special Constituencies) and the number of seats to be filled by each of the two classes taking into consideration the existing state of circumstances & how far they can be adjusted to the new Constitution

(4) In Govt. No. 3757-L&S 32-22-26 of 12/11/26 it was decided that the following offices should be ex-officio members of every District Bd, namely

(a) the D.C. except where the Bd is given the privilege of having a non official President

(b) such officials in revenue charge of the Talukas as may be notified by Govt from time to time and

(c) a representative of the Public Works, Sanitation & Public Health Departments in the District and such other Depts as may be notified by Govt from time to time

Para 8

(8) Whether any such notification has to issue by Govt personally is for consideration

(9) The Bangalore Corpn. at present has 10 nominated & ex-officio officers and 10 Elected members.

(10) If no proposal is to be submitted by Govt and if the changes are to be

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1

Serial No.

placed for this particular District. Now taking the following facts have to be considered

21) Under the Rules, the General Constituencies in this District can return not 20 members, namely 3 for Bang, Kollur, 1 Chapa & 16 for the 8 Taluks at 2 each... and in the Special Constituencies, the number of elected strength shall not be less than ¼ of the whole strength. If there be 4 seats & 10 Nominated as at present there may be 28 or 29 members & this Body may consist

22) but there is this rider whereby not more than ¼ of the elected strength can come from Special Constituencies If 5 members are allowed, then the elected strength should be not less than 26, which is not possible in the Act. In order to satisfy all the prescriptions, only 6 Bodies can be represented for this District and as regards other Bodies one of their prominent members might come in by Nomination.

23) The DC will have to be formed of the elected 6 Bodies participating under this Rules & Bodies who are actually doing good work and representing the interests of the District.

Point 22.

having given their consent

C 135 — 1924 — 8

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

LSG File No 62 of 1926.

Serial No.

24) All Plumps Considered it Advisable to fix the Constituencies for one for all Castes in proposals from the Hon'ble Ministers.

Hon'ble Dr. Subbarayan
Hon'ble Mr. Reddy
Hon'ble Mr. Ry

29/6/26

25) Rule reference is invited to Rule 3 of the Ch Bd Election rules. Under this rule the number of members that can be elected by Associations should not exceed 1/4 the total number of Elected members of the Bd. That is to say ~~the proportion of the~~ if the total strength of the Elected members of the Bd is 4, the number that can be elected by general Constituencies is 3 and that by Special Constituencies is 1. In other words ~~the proportion between~~ the number of members elected by Spl. Constituencies Associations ~~should be 1/3~~ not exceed 1/3 the number elected by the general Constituencies.

26) The election rules already issued have fixed for each of the number of members that can be elected by general Constituencies that number for Bangalore Ch is 20 & so ~~only~~ not more than 6 can be elected by Special Constituen

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

Serial No

27) The O.C. of Bangalore has recommended Associations for enfranchisement under ~~the Question~~ Rule 2 of the Rules.

The question for consideration is whether any of the 9 have to be eliminated.

The Islanders Assn, the Chamber Commerce & the Law Association represent the interests of the general public and not that of any particular community and so may perhaps be enfranchised. The Vokkaligar Sanga, the Lingayat Assn and the Central Mohamadan Assn may also be enfranchised as ~~they~~ each represents a ~~they~~ community which has under interests.

A.S. (L&G) 6/10

L&L (Leg) 6/10

L&R (Leg) 20/10

1st Member 20/10

28) I believe the intention is to represent Communities that are not likely to get representation thro the normal electorate i.e. in R.A. & election has to be made on that basis mostly.

29) Which are the Assns that have representatives in the present Board & which require rep^n as at A

4327 21/10

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

30) Dem No. pinting at one

28/29

Issued No. C 42/1284 of 24.10.26

Lns

With reference to his recommendation for empanelment of Associations for representation on the Bangalore Municipal Council which of the Associations recommended as representing communities or interests are not likely to get representation through the general electorate.

No. No. C-2-318/26-27 dated 26.10.26 from

The Dy. Commr, Bangalore

States that the two Associations viz the Turkish Goods Sellers Association & the Chamber of Commerce are not likely to get representation through the general elections

31) Submitted for orders with reference to para 28/29 supra

4598/1/11

Dem 29/10/26 (1st Asst)

28/29

30/

32) Select these two or among others those representing the least influential interests or communities whose members are to come in — from bottom to top till such time as no is reached — Malabars may do this!

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

LB File No. 62 of 1926

Serial No.

33) There are 12 Associations who have applied. Out of these 2 Associations have already been selected. Out of the remaining 10 Associations 7 Associations are now enjoying the privilege of returning a member to the Board - (Flag Y)

34) It is difficult to say which member of the Community is likely to be elected in the Coming elections for I find all these Communities were well represented in the last election

35) As I am a member of the Vakkaleon Sangha I feel it my duty to ~~bring~~ not to express any opinion regarding the merits or demerits of any Sangha to enjoy the franchise.

36) I therefore submit forgo these papers for orders

1st number.

4808
10/11

37) That is not what I wanted the opinion of Mr. Malappa! S/11
Whether the Panchaliga or Sangha Sangha Assn may be left out, & possible also the Mahomedan Assn. S/11

38) Please furnish the Nos. of seats available, & number of members for each Community elected in the last term

Del 10/11

S/ 10/11

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1/9.26
App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

L/3 File No 62 of 1926.

Serial No.

39) I find that the wording of the rules is not exactly what was in view.

40) As three of the Assns have not sent the required number, and as three are not there with the req.d number, these latter may be superseded for the present, and the D.C. may be requested to include representatives of the other three Assns, Chamber of Commerce, Dalhousie Law Assn in consultation with them when submitting their recommendations for nominations later on

13/11/26

13/11

41) Issue today

13/11

18/11

42) Draft Rule for appn

Issue 13/11/26

18/11

43) Appd

13/11

Issue

NOTE- Contn.Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

L B File No. 62 of 1926

Serial No.	
	No L 3174 of 13-11-26
72	To
	The Secy Commrs, Bangalore
	directs the extension of
	franchise to six Associations
	recommended by the Secy Commrs
	& suggests the nomination of
	representatives from Mine Kars
Roads B&S	
114	Memo No 269 dated 26.11.26
	From
	The Secretary, the Mysore
	Chamber of Commerce
	forwards copy of his
	Communication to the Secy Commrs,
	Bangalore &c., in the matter
	of grant of franchise to the Chamber,
	for favour of information.
	(5) Six associations have already
	been granted franchise. Since not-
	more than six members can be
	elected by Special Constituencies
	franchise cannot be extended
	to any other association. (Vide para 262 of proc
	6 & Regds the Chamber it-
	may be stated that the Secy
	Commrs has been awarded

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

to include the representation of the Chamber, among others, in consultation with local body when submitting his recommendation for nomination. Vide para 40 supra. The present communication is only a memo forwarding a copy of the letter of the Secretary of the Chamber to the Govt Comm. No action thereon seems necessary.

Dated
Bom 11
29.11.26.

29.11.26

L & L Secretary
thro' Asstt Secy Spl Secy L&L

48) No action is necessary. As this is only a copy of the letter to the Govt.

30/11

49) May be brought at the time of nomination
2/12

Can write to Chief Secy Madras with reference to previous letter from the Govt

30/11/26

131 Memo No C.2.318/26-24. dated 30.11.26

From

The Govt Comm, Bangalore

Forwards for information copy of his letter to the Chamber of Commerce in reply to their prayer regd to-

Serial No.	
	in el 114 x the enfranchisement of the Chamber for representation on the Bangalore el:, stating that if the Chamber suggested the name of a suitable gentleman to represent x on the Board the same will be favourably considered.
6135-14.12	50) Subd for perusal.
	Pons 4.12.26. Ag Sec'y (12 Letters)
	1st Nov.
	51) Two matters arise & she have been noted on by Asst Secy or Secy
	~~Secy~~ There is a S.O again dated to your memo. a separate letter shd always be addressed. Power ons to DC.
	52) The G.O of 1924 refers to by Chamber of Commerce and we have kept with explan why this was not right to notice when we selected Asst.

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

53) Said rule is noted by Hay x in Reforms file no 27-23- for the Go admitted by the Chamber of Commerce the exemption referred to therein is from the operation of the rules for representation on the Representative Assembly

54) The rules for elections to the district Boards also provide for the grant of such exemption to Associations (vide Rule 4 cl (b) of the Rules Hay Q.)

55) The necessity of exercising such a power in case it is decided to enfranchise the Chamber & similar Associations seeking enfranchisement has been discussed in para 10 of the notes supra

Submd

Hay x 14.12.26.

The case worker has promised to put in the S.O. recommending the grant of exemption to the Chamber. Hay 8/7/12

Hay x

56) The Government Order No.1484 dated 15th January 1924 referred to in the copy of the letter of the Secretary of the Mysore Chamber of Commerce does not relate to enfranchisement in respect of the Bangalore District Board, but to the Mysore Representative Assembly under which the privilege once granted does not expire at the end of the term of the particular assembly. Under the District Board rules issued under the Regulation of 1918 enfranchisement holds good

Serial No.

good only during the term of the District Board and fresh enfranchisement is necessary every time the -- District Board is reconstituted. Under the new District Board Boards' Regulation the old Boards constituted under the Regulation of 1918 cease to exist and new Boards have to be constituted under the rules --- issued under Notification dated 21st August 1926. -

Under Rule (5) of these rules enfranchisement given an Association will also expire at the end of the ter of the District Board. So if any exemption had been given under the old rules it will cease to have effe by constituting a new Board.

57) It may also be stated that orders on the question of exempting the ~~transfer~~ Chamber from the rules so as to give it the benefit of representation was specifically raised in the Office note (vide para 10).

58) Orders as required in para 51 will issue after the file is returned to the Branch with further orde if any.

59) Copy of the standing order referred to therein is also put up.

Ref paras 51 & 52.

L L Rees
1st June.

6428/21/12

60) What I wished to know was whether anywhere in those long notes, it was stated that Chamber had been exempted by Govt for R.A. (and also L.C.? Mr Gondaekar?) & if not, why. If stated please say the page and Index A. I did not notice such a

61) Letter as proposed may issue as proposed

23/12/26

.R.1. ~~Ehr~~

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Issue & Resubmit

Serial No.

62) Draft letter to be issued for perusal

23/12

63) Draft Issued

Done 23/12 24/12

64) Done

27/12

No L/4209/LRB 62-20 dated 28th Dec 1926

199

20

The Dy Commrs, Bangalore &c

With reference to the Circumstances of 30.11.26 points out that Correspondence entered should be by letter & not by docket or Memo.

65) Issued with reference to para 62 supra

Done 3.1.27 31/1/27

66) Please prepare a note on this point which may be submitted

28/1/27

31/1/27 67) Issued with note

Done 4.1.27.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

(68) The question of enfranchisement of the Associations including the Chamber was considered with reference to the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner and the recent Regulation and the Rules thereunder. Neither he nor the Chamber had then brought to the notice of the Government the privilege accorded to the Chamber in the Representative Assembly or Legislative Council and so there was no room for the office to put up the reference of 1924, since referred to by the Chambe and which is a privilege not connected with the n new District Board.

(69) The Office had however brought to notice the privilege which the Chamber had been enjoying on the District Board which it is submitted was ~~necessary~~ at that stage

6950/6/11

41) The Secty should have made them aware of this.

42) To Warkalian. I am not sure if this Election; so Warkalai Sangha has, I think, to be disenfranchised hereafter, & Chamber Commerce Substituted for this time a nominee might be nominated.

43) It is essential that all notices should issue before the 1st month. Inquiry D.O. should be sent to A.G. who have not sent their lists & these need should be called for immediately

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

K.M.R.1.

Serial No.

The Results of general elections alone have been reported by the separate Commissioners of Guyana, Jamaica, Trinidad & Barbados. Even there are preliminary and final lists along with the result of elections by the Special Interests have to be sent after the time for disputing the validity of elections expires. A draft requiring the submission of such lists with proposals for nominations etc expeditiously from all Obs is herewith put up & Secd for orders.

As regards the observations of the Chamber regarding the Chamber at para 42 supra, Govt have in their order no L.2147 Rts 62-26-42 dated 13.11.26, explained Associations to represent Special Interests aimed to be by Govt to include amongst others Representatives of the Chamber when submitting his recommendations under the circumstances are solicited as to whether this may be communicated to the Chamber now. Secd for orders.

Done 8.1.27 — L.A.Secy

A. Taylor Asst Secy 8/1/27

Secretary

Not necessary

8/1/27

Noted

10/1/27

Done the Asst Secy

Asst Secy 10/1

10/1

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. E. HI—2]

24

General and Revenue
Secretariat

L.R. File No. 62 of 1926.

Serial No.	
	D.O. No 4498-4505 of 11.1.27
	to
	the Secy to Govt
	Requires the Supply of records
	of elections along with nomination
	proposals expeditiously



NOTE—Contn.Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—4-9-26

(General and Revenue Secretariat)

LB File No. 62 of 1926

Serial No.	
	Population - 1921 - Census Bangalore district.
	Banajiga 20,668
	Kuruba 39,273
	Lingayat 41,155
	Vakkaliga 2,55,773
	Vaisya 3,625
	Musalman 51,053
	No of seats available 6 one for the whole or 4 after allotting one to the Chamber of Commerce and one to the Whitefield Settlers' Association (vide paras 26 & 32 of the notes.)

Registered Associations represented on the existing Board

1) Whitefield Settlers Association
2) Jamuhar Sangha
3) Mumtaz Mahaana ya Chemmakkia
4) Lingayat Seemadhini Hindi Association
5) Kurmi Mahajanwadi Mala Sabha
6) Vaishalipara Sangha
7) Lam Association
8) Andhramula Abhivardhini Sangha
9) Central Mahomedan Association
10) Chamber of Commerce.

Inamdars.

No	Name of Association	Registration	Strength	Exist on the existing Board	Has the D.C recommended	Population in the district
1	Saundars Association	Yes	160	Yes	Yes	
2	Lani Association	Yes	96	Yes	Yes	
3	Chamber of Commerce	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	
4	Jammbar Sangha	Yes	185 -	Yes	Yes	39,243.
5	Arya Vaishya Maha Sabha	Yes	150	Yes	Yes	3,625
6	Vakkaligara Sangha	Yes	245	Yes	Yes	2,55,773
7	Lingayat Trust Association	Yes	230	Yes	Yes	41,155
8	Whitefield Settlers Association	no information (Yes)	30	Yes	Yes	
9	Central Kshoumdan Association	Yes	456	Yes	Yes	51,053
10	Yadavas angha	Yes	134		no	
11	Baliga Sangha	no - information (Yes)	no information		no	Bangaliga 20,668.
12	Anjumani Mahadevia of Chennapatna	no information (Yes)	299	Yes	no	

All

Immediate

25

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

No.C-2-318/26-27

Bangalore District,

See 12 Abbreviations with Enclosures + Statement — Dated Bangalore, 4th October 1926.



Rec 8/10

From

T. Govinda Rao, Esq.,

Offg:Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.



To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative Departments, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to rule 4(c) of the Election Rules for the District Boards issued with Government Notification No.L.1311/L.B.25-26-3 dated 21st August 1926, I have the honor to state that applications were received in time from the marginally noted Associations for enfranchisement on the Bangalore District Board. The Central Mahomedan Association, and Anjuman-e-Mahadevia Islamia of Chennapatna have also submitted their applications but these were received after the due date.

. The Kurubar Sangha,
. ,, Aryavaisya Mahasabha,
. ,, Vokkaligar Sangha,
. ,, Mysore Lingayat Education Fund Association.
. ,, Whitefield Settlers Association,
. ,, Inamdars Association,
. ,, Yadava Sangha,
. ,, Balija Sangha,
. ,, Law Association.
. The Chamber of Commerce

2. From the wording of rule 2 of the District Board Election Rules dated the 21st August 1926, it is doubtful whether Associations intended to subserve communal interests can be enfranchised. But as the corresponding rule was somewhat similarly worded under the old Rules and several communal Associations had been granted the privilege then, there might be no objection to their enfranchisement now also.

3. Information has not been furnished whether the Whitefield Settlers Association, ~~the Chamber of Commerce~~, Balija Sangha and Anjuman-e-Mahadevia Dairat-ul-Islam have been registered under

the soecieties Registration Regulation No.II of 1904 as required in rule 2. I recommend that the Associations as per appended list may be given the privilege of deputing one member each to represent them on the Bangalore District Board, which will be reconstituted from the 1st February 1927 under the New District Board Regulation. The grounds for exemptions are noted in the remarks column of the appended statement.

4. All the applications with the enclosures received from the several Associations are herewith submitted for the information of Government. The list of members of such of the Associations as are granted franchise by Government may kindly be returned for taking further action in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

27

List of Associations recommended for franchise on the Bangalore District Board.

Serial No.	No.	Name of Association.		Remarks.
Yes	1.	Inamdars Association.	160	Regd
Yes	2.	Law Association.	196	The strength of the members is only 92. According to the rules-it should be not less than 100. The Association may be specially exempted from the rule. Regd
Yes	3.	Chamber of Commerce.	170 Regd	The application was received 2 days afte the due date. The strength of the member is only 65. Special exemption may be granted ~~Subject to its getting itself registered under the Regulation of 1904~~
Yes	4.	Kurubar Sangha	185	Copy of the rules will follow. Regd
Yes	5.	Arya Vaishya Mahia Sabha	150	Regd
Yes	6.	Vokkaligar Sangha.	275	Regd
Yes	7.	Mysore Lingayat Fund Association.	230	Regd
Yes	8.	Whitefield Settlers Association.	30	The strength of the members is only 30. It is not stated whether the Association has been registered. It may be given franchise as a special case.
Yes	9.	Central Mahomedan Association, Bangalore.	456	The application was received one dayafte the due date. The delay may be condoned and the Association given the franchise. Regd

---

Bangalore,
4/10/1926.

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Not recommended

1 Gantavalangha
2 " Balija Sangha
3 Anjuvanni Mahasabha of Chenna pattna

No.C-2-318/26-27
Enc.one

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, 10th October 1926

From 17717

T. Govinda Rao, Esq.,
Offg:Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and
Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.C-2-318/26-27 dated the 4th October 1926, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the Memorandum of the Association called the "Kurubar Sangha".

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy ~~Commissioner~~,
Bangalore District.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

No.C-2-318-26/27 Bangalore, 26th October 1926.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
17612
29. OCT. 1926
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No.C.42/LSG dated the 24th instant re the enfranchisement of Associations, I beg to state that out of the 12 Associations as representing communities or interests, the following are not likely to get representation through the general electorate i.e.from the general constituency in the rural area

X

Communities:

1. Whitefield Settlers Association.

Interests.

2. Chamber of Commerce.

X

Yours Sincerely,

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative Depts.
Bangalore.

13855

U R G E N T.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District,
Bangalore, dated, the 28th October 1926.

C2-318/26-27
Enc. 3

GEN. & REV. SEC. BR. 29. OCT. 1926

From

T. Govinda Rao, Esqr.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

31
18042
1. NOV. 1926

To

B. Nagappa, Esqr., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

LB 62-26 — 53

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. C2-318/26-27 dated the 9th October 1926, I have the honour to submit herew with a copy of the memorandum of Anjumane Mahadavia Association of Channapatna, a copy of its bye-laws and a list of its members.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Govindadoss

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Enfranchisement of Associations
Orders the _____ for representing special interests
on the Bangalore district Board under the new Regulation

GOVERNMENT ORDER NO. R 3174/RB62-26-72 **DATED** the 13th November 1926

Instructions to General Miscellaneous Branch –

1. Is the order to be printed? Yes
2. Is it to be published in Gazette, English? no
3. Is it to be published in Gazette, Kannada? no
4. Number of spare copies required. 50 all signed

B374.

G.R.S. VIG 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.... 10/11/26

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section L13

File No. 62-26

Serial No. 92

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Letter no C-2-318/26-
dated the 4th October 19
from the Deputy Comm
Bangalore district
forwarding with his
observations the applica
received by him from
Associations for enquiries
~~Order Thereon Na~~ heard on the
Bangalore district Boa

Cerau no:- L 3177
to be Rec

The Dy. Commr has
recommended the for

MEMBERS OF THE BRITISH IMPERIAL COUNCIL OF COMMERCE

Mysore Chamber of Commerce

35

POST BOX No. 39

Bangalore 26th November 192 6

No. 268.

IMMEDIATE.

From

The Secretary,

The Mysore Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore.



To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District, Bangalore.

83 62-26-114

Sir,

The attention of the Chamber of Commerce has been drawn to the omission of its name in the list of Associations enfranchised to the Bangalore District Board, published with your Notification No.C.2-318-26-27, dated the 23rd November 1926 (published at page 598, Part II-A of the Mysore Gazette of 25th November 1926). The Chamber is not aware - whether this omission is deliberate or otherwise, and if the former, of the authority under which the Chamber of Commerce has been denied the opportunity of sending its representative to the District Board as ---- hitherto. In this connection I am to point out that the Chamber was -- first enfranchised to the Bangalore District Board in Government Order No.R.14457/L.B.96-18-5 dated the 12th May 1919 and it has been enjoying this privilege of representation since then or for upwards of 7 years. Besides being represented on the District Board so far, the Chamber has its representatives on the Legislative, Council, Representative Assembly, Stores Purchase Committee, City Municipality and Industries and Commerce Board. The Chamber has been recognised by Government and other public bodies both in and outside of the State as an organ which can speak --- authoratively on matters affecting the Trade and Commerce of the country. More recently as you are well aware a meeting of Merchants was convened under the auspices of the Chamber, at which the Dewan presided, in -----

MEMBERS OF THE BRITISH IMPERIAL COUNCIL OF COMMERCE

Mysore Chamber of Commerce

36

POST BOX No. 39

Bangalore..... 192

No.....

in order to discuss the general trade position in the State. These facts go to establish that the Mysore Chamber of Commerce is an --- institution which has come to stay as the sole authority voicing the interests of the Mercantile Community in Mysore. The Chamber hopes that you will take early steps to rectify the omission and include the Chamber of Commerce in the list, thereby enabling it to be represented on the Bangalore District Board as heretofore. It may also be added - that in Government Order No.1484 dated the 10th January 1924, the --- Government have been pleased to specifically exempt the Mysore Chamber of Commerce from the operation of the rule regarding the minimum number of membership.

I have the honour to be.

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd.K.Shama Iyer,

Secretary.

Memo.No.269. Dated the 26th November 1926.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, Bangalore, for favour of information.

K. Shama Iyer

Secretary.

Rec'd 6/11
2-3-26: N
(Rec'd)

2241

24.16

-2-

Ref 62-26 37 131

Copy of the ~~xxxx~~ above together with a copy of the letter received from the Secretary, Chamber of Commerce, are forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to the Government, Local and Legislative Departments for information.



for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

CR

3/6

C2-315/26-27

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore, 30th November 1926.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 27th instant re: the representation of the Chamber of Commerce on the Bangalore District Board to be reconstituted from 1st February ~~1928~~ 1927 under the New District Boards Regulation, I write to inform you that the power of ~~xxxxxxxx~~ conferring the franchise rests with Government. Any how if the Chamber suggest the name of a suitable gentleman to represent it on the District Board the question of nominating him as a member of the District Board will be favourably considered at the times of submitting recommendations for nominations by this office.

Yours sincerely,

Sd. T. GOVINDA RAO.

To

K. Shama Rao, Esqr.,
Secretary,
Mysore Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore.

P.T.O.

Letter No. 268 dated 26th November 1926 from the Secretary, Mysore Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, Bangalore.

The attention of the Chamber of Commerce has been drawn to the omission of its name in the list of Associations enfranchised to the Bangalore District Board, published with your Notification No. C.2. 318-26-27 dated the 23rd November 1926 (published at page 598, Part II-A of the Mysore Gazette of 25th November 1926). The Chamber is not aware whether this omission is deliberate or otherwise, and if the former, of the authority under which the Chamber of Commerce has been denied the opportunity of sending its representative to the District Board as hitherto. In this connection I am to point out that the Chamber was first enfranchised to the Bangalore District Board in Government Order No.R.14457/L.B. 96-18-5 dated the 12th May 1919 and it has been enjoying this privilege of representation since then or for upwards of 7 years. Besides being represented on the District Board so far, the Chamber has its representatives on the Legislative Council, Representative Assembly, Stores Purchase Committee, City Municipality and Industries and Commerce Board. The Chamber has been recognised by Government and other public bodies both in and outside of the state as an organ which can speak authoritatively on matters affecting the Trade and Commerce of the country. More recently as you are well aware a meeting of Merchants was convened under the auspices of the Chamber, at which the Dewan presided, in order to discuss the general trade position in the State. These facts go to establish that the Mysore Chamber of Commerce is an institution which has come to stay as the sole authority voicing the interests of the Mercantile Community in Mysore. The Chamber hopes that you will take early steps to rectify the omission and include the Chamber of Commerce in the list thereby enabling it to be represented on the Bangalore District Board as heretofore. It may also be added that in Government Order No. 1484 dated the 15th January 1924, the Government have been pleased to specifically exempt the Mysore Chamber of Commerce, from

the

PP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section LB

File No. 62-26

No. Ex 09 Serial No. 199

To

The Secretary Commerce
Chamber

Sir

With refer to your memo. no C. 2. 318/26-27 dated 30. 11. 26, forwarding copy of your Communication C. 2. 318/26-27 of 30th Nov 19 to the Secretary, the Supreme Chamber of Commerce, Bombay, I am directed to invite attention to para 49 of Chapter IV. Rules of Correspondence. Section Correspondence with Govt. in the Manual of Secretariat Circulars & Standing Orders regarding Govt. are Communica-

over

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....28/12/26.....

Signed by.....

Yours T)

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

41

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. LH498-4505

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 11th jan 27

Signed by Mr T.K.S

To

Dear Sir

I am desired to request you to convey Sanction on the accompanying the final results of the elections in the general and Special Constituencies Interests in favour of the new Districts Board, above in your recommendations for nominations for orders of Government

Yours Sincerely

B/S

As the Secretary Commissioner of Sanitation

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 61265—GPB—31,000— 24-12-25